AGNAGN Seminar Sec5.7-5.9

Misato Fujii

5.7 Electron Temperatures and Densities from Infrared Emission Lines

• far-infrared lines (such as [OIII] ${}^{3}P_{0} - {}^{3}P_{1} \lambda 88 \mu m$ and ${}^{3}P_{1} - {}^{3}P_{2} \lambda 52 \mu m$) have much smaller excitation potentials than optical lines (such as ${}^{3}P_{2} - {}^{1}D_{2} \lambda 5007$)

- ratio like $j_{\lambda 5007}/j_{\lambda 88 \mu m}$ depends strongly on temperature (j: emission coefficient)
 - since the ${}^{3}P_{2}$ level has a much lower critical electron density than ${}^{1}D_{2}$, the ratio depends on density
- $\cdot j_{\lambda 52 \mu m}/j_{\lambda 88 \mu m}$ hardly depends on temperature at all
 - · both excitation potentials are so low compared to typical nebular temperatures
 - · but depend strongly on density

\Rightarrow by measuring two [O III] ratios, we can determine the average values of T and n_e

- agree reasonably well with values determined from optical lines alone (Figure 5.12)
- \cdot Including the infrared lines makes determinations of T and n_e possible for many more ions



Figure 5.12

Calculated variation of [O III] forbidden-line relative intensity ratios as functions of T (5,000 k to 20,000 K) and the log of the electron density n_e . Observed planetary-nebula ratios plotted with an indication of probable errors.

5.8. Electron Temperatures and Densities from Radio Recombination Lines

• information on T and n_e in gaseous nebulae can be obtained from measurements of the radio recombination lines

- \cdot populations of the high levels of H depend on T and n_e
- \cdot the strengths of the lines emitted by these levels depend on n_e , T, and the optical depth

 \Rightarrow comparison of measured and calculated relative strengths can be used to calculate mean values of n_e , T, and E

- · to calculate the expected strengths, solve the equation of radiative transfer
 - \cdot since the maser effect is often important
 - · continuum radiation isn't weak compared to the line radiation and must be included in the equation of transfer
- observations are reported in terms of brightness temperature
 - \cdot T_C: the measured temperature in the continuum near the line
 - \cdot *T*_L: the excess brightness temperature due to the line
 - \cdot T_L + T_C: the measured brightness temperature at the peak of the line



Figure 5.13

A radio-frequency line superimposed on the radio-frequency continuum, showing the brightness temperatures at the center of the line and in the nearby continuum; T_L and T_C , respectively.

Optical depth from radio recombination lines

- \cdot consider an idealized homogeneous isothermal nebula
 - optical depth in the center of the line: $\tau_{cL} = \tau_L + \tau_C$
 - $\cdot \tau_{C}$: optical depth in the continuum

• τ_L : optical depth contributed from the line alone $d\tau_L = \kappa_L ds$ $\kappa_L = n_n k_{0L}$.

 \cdot the central line-absorption cross section

$$\kappa_{0L} = \frac{\omega_m}{\omega_n} \frac{\lambda^2}{8\pi^{3/2} \Delta v_D} A_{m,n} \left[1 - \frac{b_m}{b_n} \exp(-hv/kT) \right] = \frac{\omega_m}{\omega_n} \frac{\lambda^2 (\ln 2)^{1/2}}{4\pi^{3/2} \Delta v_L} A_{m,n} \left[1 - \frac{b_m}{b_n} \exp(-hv/kT) \right] \cdot \Delta v_D: \text{ the half width at } e^{-1} \text{ of maximum intensity} \cdot \Delta v_L: \text{ the full-width at half-maximum intensity} \cdot A_{m,n}: \text{ mean transition probability} \cdot correction for stimulated emission}$$

 $\cdot \exp(X_n/kT) \approx 1$: good approximation for all observed radio-frequency recombination lines

 \Rightarrow express $A_{m,n}$ in terms of the corresponding f-value $(f_{m,n})$ and expand the stimulated-emission correction for the case of local thermodynamic equilibrium $(b_m = b_n = 1, \text{ denote by }^*)$

$$\tau_L^* = 1.53 \times 10^{-9} \frac{n^2 f_{nm} \nu}{\Delta \nu_L T^{2.5}} E_p$$

$$= 1.01 \times 10^7 \frac{\Delta n f_{nm}}{n \Delta \nu_L T^{2.5}} E_p.$$

$$\cdot \text{ proton-emission measure } (E_p): E_p = \int n_p n_e \, ds$$

$$\cdot \nu = \frac{\nu_0}{n^2} - \frac{\nu_0}{m^2} \approx \frac{2\nu_0 \Delta n}{n^3}$$

Temperature from Radio Recombination Lines

In the true nebular case

• the line optical depth:

$$L = \tau_L^* b_n \frac{\left[1 - \frac{b_n}{b_n} \exp(-h\nu/kT)\right]}{\left[1 - \exp(-h\nu/kT)\right]}$$
$$= \tau_L^* b_m \left(1 - \frac{kT}{h\nu} \frac{d\ln b_n}{dn} \Delta n\right)$$

Γ b

- \cdot the continuum optical depth is the same as in thermodynamic equilibrium
 - \cdot the free electrons have a Maxwellian distribution

 \Rightarrow calculate the ratio of brightness temperatures ($r = T_L/T_C$) in case of thermodynamic equilibrium

$$\rightarrow r^* = \frac{T_L + T_C}{T_C} - 1 = \frac{T[1 - \exp(-\tau_{CL})]}{T[1 - \exp(-\tau_C)]} - 1$$
$$= \frac{1 - \exp[-(\tau_L^* + \tau_C)]}{1 - \exp(-\tau_C)} - 1.$$

- $r^* = \frac{\tau_L^*}{L}$. $\tau_L^* \ll 1$: good approximation in all lines observed to date
 - \cdot $au_{\mathcal{C}}$ \ll 1: generally but not always a good approximation

⇒ under the assumption of local thermodynamic equilibrium, the observed ratio of brightness temperatures (r) gives the ratio of optical depths

→measure T

Temperature from Radio Recombination Lines

- calculate the brightness-temperature ratio $r = T_L/T_c$ in the true nebular in the case of non thermodynamic equilibrium
 - brightness temperature in the continuum: $T_C = T[1 \exp(-\tau_C)].$
 - both the line-emission and line-absorption coefficients differ from their thermodynamic equilibrium values
 - line-emission coefficient: $j_L = j_L^* b_m$,
 - \cdot depends on the population in the upper level
 - \cdot line-absorption coefficient

$$\kappa_L = \kappa_L^* b_m \beta, \qquad \beta = 1 - \frac{kT}{hv} \frac{d \ln bn}{dn} \Delta n.$$

• equation transfer in intensity units: $S_{m} = \frac{j_{L}^{*}b_{m} + j_{C}}{j_{L}^{*}}$

 $\Rightarrow \text{ brightness temperature at the center of the line:} \quad T_L + T_C = \left[\frac{\kappa_L^* b_m + \kappa_C}{\kappa_L^* b_m \beta + \kappa_C}\right] T \left\{1 - \exp\left[-\left(b_m \beta \tau_L^* + \tau_C\right)\right]\right\}$ $\Rightarrow \quad r = \frac{T_L}{T_C} = \left[\frac{\kappa_L^* b_m + \kappa_C}{\kappa_L^* b_m \beta + \kappa_C}\right] \left[\frac{1 - \exp\left(-b_m \beta \tau_L^* + \tau_C\right)}{1 - \exp\left(-\tau_C\right)}\right] - 1,$

• depends only on one optical depth (τ_c) , the ratio of optical depths, $(\tau_L^* \tau_c = \kappa_L^* \kappa_c)$, and the b_n factors

 \Rightarrow r depends on n_e and T

 \Rightarrow when the deviations from thermodynamic equilibrium are taken into account, r depends on T, n_e , τ_C , and E

determination T, n_e , and E

 \Rightarrow observations of several different lines in the same nebula are necessary to determine T, n_e , and E

 \cdot make the best possible match between all measured lines in a given nebula, and the theoretical calculations for a given T, n_e , and E

- \leftrightarrow observational problems
 - the radio recombination lines suffer significant impact broadening at the low densities of nebulae
 ⇒wings of the line difficult to define observationally
 - measurements are made at different frequencies and with different radio telescopes
 - \Rightarrow the antenna beam patterns are not identical for all lines
- $\boldsymbol{\cdot}$ from model calculation
 - measurements of lines with $\Delta n = 1$ at frequencies near 10 GHz are only slightly affected by maser effects and by deviations from thermodynamic equilibrium
 - ⇒suitable for determining nebular temperatures
- Figure 5.14: compare the temperature from recombination lines and from collisionally excited lines
 - \cdot temperatures determined from the recombination lines tend to be lower
 - also found with the Balmer jump and radio continuum



gure 5.14 comparison between temperatures determine

A comparison between temperatures determined from optical forbidden lines ([O III] filled circles; [N II] open circles) and radio recombination lines.

determination T, n_e , and E

- range in temperatures is largely due to physical differences among the nebulae
 - \cdot the measured temperatures shows increase with increasing distance from the center
 - consistent with the decrease in heavy-element abundance outward from the center (more detail in Capter10)
- average electron densities from the radio recombination line measurements
 - \cdot best way is to compare lines of two different frequencies
 - important to match the antenna beam widths
 - \cdot very high n lines cannot be used
 - because impact broadening becomes important, making the wings difficult to define
 - \rightarrow mean electron density derived in this way for the Orion Nebula: $n_e = 2.4 \times 10^3 \text{ cm}^{-3}$
 - comparable with an emission-weighted average of the [O II] determinations

5.9 Filling and Covering Factors

- \cdot chaotic structure in planetaries and H II regions
 - · density condensations, low-density hollows, etc.
- \rightarrow important feature of the structure of gaseous nebulae

• these structures can be detected quantitatively if the densities derived from [O II] line ratios are used to predict the expected high-frequency radio continuum brightness temperature

- in this case
 - \rightarrow brightness temperature: $T_{bv} = 8.24 \times 10^{-2} T^{-0.35} v^{-2.1} E_c$
 - \cdot in the limit of small optical depth (a good approximation for high-frequency observations)
 - E_C : continuum emission measure ($E_C = \int n_+ n_e \, ds$)
 - predicted brightness temperature depends only very weakly on the nebular temperature

Filling and Covering Factors

\rightarrow measured values of T_{bv} are smaller than predicted value in this way, typically $\times 10$

- can be understood if the nebula is thinner along the line of sight than perpendicular to it, or density fluctuations
 - · line-ratio density measurements are heavily weighted toward the regions of strongest emission
 - ⇒measured densities deviate from the average density along a typical path or ray through the nebula

⇒density fluctuation must be taken into account in describing the structure of the nebula

 \cdot simplest way: idealize the nebula as containing gas, in small clumps or condensations with n_e or between them with zero electron density

 \cdot filling factor (ε): the fraction of the total volume occupied by the condensations

- space between the condensations is a vacuum with no contribution to the emission, mass, opacity, etc.
- · can be assumed to be constant throughout a nebula or can be allowed to vary with position
- covering factor $(\Omega/4\pi)$: fraction of 4π sr that is covered by gas, as viewed from the central star

• takes into account regions where the gas is nonexistent or has insufficient column density to fully absorb the ionizing continuum (appear fainter)

under filling and covering-factor description of nebulae

 \rightarrow intensity of an emission: $I_l = \int j_1 ds = \int \varepsilon n_i n_e \varepsilon_l(T) ds;$

 $\rightarrow \text{luminosity in the same line, integrated over the volume of the nebula:} L_l = \frac{\Omega}{4\pi} \int \varepsilon n_l n_e \varepsilon_l(T) dT$

- \rightarrow other properties can be determined
 - the number of recombinations, the total mass of H in the nebula, the radial optical depth
- Similar generalizations can be made in other equations
 - \cdot on the basis that n describes the density in the condensations with vacuum between them